

GeneGeneInter vignette

Statistical analysis of the interaction between a pair of genes.

Mathieu Emily and Magalie Houée-Bigot

May 4, 2024

This vignette presents the technical details of the statistical procedure implemented in the package. Readers that would like to have a global overview of the main functions and tools proposed in the package are encouraged to read the vignette **VignetteGeneGeneInter_Introduction**.

1 Introduction

In this vignette we consider statistical procedures to test for the interaction between two genes in susceptibility with a binary phenotype, typically a case/control disease status. Let $Y \in \{0, 1\}$ be the phenotype, where $Y = 0$ stands for a control and $Y = 1$ a case, and X_1 and X_2 be the two genes for which the interaction is tested.

Let consider a sample of n individuals with n_c controls and n_d cases ($n_c + n_d = n$) and $\mathbf{Y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]'$ the vector of the observed binary phenotypes. Each gene is a collection of respectively m_1 and m_2 SNPs. The observed genotypes for gene X_1 can be represented by a $n \times m_1$ matrix: $\mathbf{X}_1 = [x_{ij}^1]_{i \in 1 \dots n; j \in 1 \dots m_1}$ where $x_{ij}^1 \in \{0; 1; 2\}$ is the number of copies of the minor allele for SNP j carried by individual i . A similar representation is used for gene X_2 where \mathbf{X}_2 is a $n \times m_2$ matrix. Let us further introduce \mathbf{X}_1^c and \mathbf{X}_2^c the matrices of observed genotypes among controls for gene 1 and 2 and \mathbf{X}_1^d and \mathbf{X}_2^d among cases for both genes. Thus \mathbf{X}_1^c is a $n_c \times m_1$ matrix, \mathbf{X}_1^d a $n_d \times m_1$ matrix, \mathbf{X}_2^c a $n_c \times m_2$ matrix and \mathbf{X}_2^d a $n_d \times m_2$ matrix. A general setup of the observed values can be presented as follows:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{n_c} \\ y_{n_c+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n_c+n_d} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1^c \\ \mathbf{X}_1^d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}^1 & \dots & x_{1m_1}^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n_c1}^1 & \dots & x_{n_cm_1}^1 \\ x_{(n_c+1)1}^1 & \dots & x_{(n_c+1)m_1}^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{(n_c+n_d)1}^1 & \dots & x_{(n_c+n_d)m_1}^1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_2^c \\ \mathbf{X}_2^d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}^2 & \dots & x_{1m_2}^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n_c1}^2 & \dots & x_{n_cm_2}^2 \\ x_{(n_c+1)1}^2 & \dots & x_{(n_c+1)m_2}^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{(n_c+n_d)1}^2 & \dots & x_{(n_c+n_d)m_2}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

In our package we proposed 10 methods for testing interaction at the gene level. These 10 methods are all based on three main parameters: **Y**, a **numeric** or **factor** vector with exactly two distinct values, **G1** and **G2** two **SnpMatrix** objects as proposed by the R **Bioconductor** package **snpStats**. Our implementation is illustrated by the dataset **gene.pair** provided with the **GeneGeneInter** package and summarized in the following command lines:

```
> library("GeneGeneInter")
> data("gene.pair")
> head(gene.pair$Y)

[1] HealthControl HealthControl HealthControl HealthControl HealthControl
Levels: HealthControl RheumatoidArthritis

> gene.pair$G1
```

```
A SnpMatrix with 453 rows and 8 columns
Row names: Id1 ... Id453
Col names: rs1491710 ... rs2298849

> gene.pair$G2
```

```
A SnpMatrix with 453 rows and 4 columns
Row names: Id1 ... Id453
Col names: rs2057094 ... rs1005753
```

The 10 methods implemented in our package can be divided into two main families: 6 methods based on a multidimensional modeling of the interaction at the gene level and 4 methods that combine interaction tests at the SNP level into a single test at the gene level.

2 Multidimensional methods at the gene level

In the **GeneGeneInterR** package, 6 multidimensional methods have been implemented that are based on:

- Principal Components Analysis - **PCA.test** function,
- Canonical Correlation Analysis - **CCA.test** function,
- Kernel Canonical Correlation Analysis - **KCCA.test** function,
- Composite Linkage Disequilibrium - **CLD.test** function,
- Partial Least Square Path Modeling - **PLSPM.test** function,
- Gene-Based Information Gain Method - **GBIGM.test** function.

In the remainder of this section, technical and practical details are given regarding these 6 methods.

2.1 PCA-based

In the PCA-based method, a likelihood ratio test is performed to compare the model \mathcal{M}_{Inter} to the model \mathcal{M}_{No} , where \mathcal{M}_{Inter} is defined by:

$$\text{logit}(\mathbb{P}[Y = 1 | PC_{X_1}^1 \dots PC_{X_1}^{n_1}, PC_{X_2}^1 \dots PC_{X_2}^{n_2}]) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} PC_{X_1}^i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} PC_{X_2}^j + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=2}^{n_2} PC_{X_1}^i PC_{X_2}^j$$

and \mathcal{M}_{No} by:

$$\text{logit}(\mathbb{P}[Y = 1 | PC_{X_1}^1 \dots PC_{X_1}^{n_1}, PC_{X_2}^1 \dots PC_{X_2}^{n_2}]) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} PC_{X_1}^i + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} PC_{X_2}^j$$

In models \mathcal{M}_{Inter} and \mathcal{M}_{No} , $PC_{X_1}^i$ and $PC_{X_2}^j$ are the i^{th} principal component of \mathbf{X}_1 and the j^{th} principal component of \mathbf{X}_2 . The number of principal components, n_1 and n_2 , kept in the interaction test is determined by the percentage of inertia retrieved by the PCA. Such a percentage is defined by the user and corresponds to the **threshold** parameter.

In our package, two distinct Principal Component decomposition are provided by the functions **PCA.test** via the argument **method**. With **method="Std"**, dataset is standardized using variables' standard deviation while with **method="GenFreq"**, dataset is standardized using standard deviation under Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, as proposed in the **snpStats** package.

When the percentage of inertia asked by the user is high, the number of PCs can be important and fitting logistic models \mathcal{M}_{Inter} and \mathcal{M}_{No} is likely to fail. In that case, the number of PCs in each gene is iteratively reduced until convergence of the **glm** function for fitting models \mathcal{M}_{Inter} and \mathcal{M}_{No} .

The following lines provide an example of the `PCA.test` function:

```
> PCA.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1, G2=gene.pair$G2, threshold=0.7,
+ method="GenFreq")
```

Gene-based interaction based on Principal Component Analysis - GenFreq

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
Deviance = 8.2157, df = 6.0, threshold = 0.7, p-value = 0.2227
alternative hypothesis: true deviance is greater than 0
sample estimates:
Deviance without interaction    Deviance with interaction
                615.2977                607.0821
```

```
> PCA.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1, G2=gene.pair$G2, threshold=0.7,
+ method="Std")
```

Gene-based interaction based on Principal Component Analysis - Std

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
Deviance = 8.5074, df = 6.0, threshold = 0.7, p-value = 0.2032
alternative hypothesis: true deviance is greater than 0
sample estimates:
Deviance without interaction    Deviance with interaction
                615.0911                606.5837
```

2.2 Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)

The CCA test is based on a Wald-type statistic defined as follows (see [Peng et al., 2010] for details):

$$U_{CCA} = \frac{z_d - z_c}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(z_d) + \mathbb{V}(z_c)}}$$

where $z_d = \frac{1}{2}(\log(1 + r_d) - \log(1 - r_d))$ and $z_c = \frac{1}{2}(\log(1 + r_c) - \log(1 - r_c))$ with r_d the maximum canonical correlation coefficient between \mathbf{X}_1^d and \mathbf{X}_2^d and r_c the maximum canonical correlation coefficient between \mathbf{X}_1^c and \mathbf{X}_2^c computed for controls ($Y = 0$). As suggested by [Peng et al., 2010], the sampled variances $\mathbb{V}(z_d)$ and $\mathbb{V}(z_c)$ were evaluated by applying a bootstrapping method. The number of bootstrap sample used to estimate $\mathbb{V}(z_d)$ and $\mathbb{V}(z_c)$ is determined by the `n.boot` argument. P-value is then obtained by noting that under the null hypothesis $U_{CCA} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

CCA based gene-gene interaction is implemented in the `CCA.test` function and mainly depends on the `cancor` function from the **Stats** package [R Core Team, 2016].

```
R> set.seed(1234)
```

```
> CCA.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1, G2=gene.pair$G2, n.boot=500)
```

Gene-based interaction based on Canonical Correspondance Analysis

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
CCU = 0.60304, n.boot = 500, p-value = 0.5465
alternative hypothesis: true CCU is not equal to 0
sample estimates:
      z0      z1
0.2940799 0.2414700
```

2.3 Kernel Canonical Correlation Analysis (KCCA)

The KCCA based test provides a generalization of CCA test to detect non-linear co-association between \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 [Yuan et al., 2012, Larson and Schaid, 2013] and is based on the following Wald-type statistic:

$$U_{KCCA} = \frac{kz_d - kz_c}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(kz_d) + \mathbb{V}(kz_c)}}$$

where $kz_d = \frac{1}{2} (\log(1 + kr_d) - \log(1 - kr_d))$ and $kz_c = \frac{1}{2} (\log(1 + kr_c) - \log(1 - kr_c))$ with kr_d the maximum kernel canonical correlation coefficient between \mathbf{X}_1^d and \mathbf{X}_2^d and kr_c the maximum kernel canonical correlation coefficient between \mathbf{X}_1^c and \mathbf{X}_2^c .

Similar to the CCA test, $\mathbb{V}(kz_d)$ and $\mathbb{V}(kz_c)$ are estimated using bootstrap techniques [Yuan et al., 2012, Larson and Schaid, 2013] and the p-value is obtained using the standard gaussian distribution of U_{KCCA} under the null hypothesis. Since the performance of kernel methods strongly relates to the choice of kernel functions, the default is the Radial Basis kernel Function (RBF) owing to its flexibility in parameter specification. However, other kernel functions, such as linear, polynomial or spline kernels, can be used. Thus, in addition to the three arguments **Y**, **G1** and **G2**, our implementation of the KCCA test proposes two optional arguments: **n.boot** that determines the number of bootstrap samples and **kernel** that provides the kernel function to be used. This **kernel** parameter is character string matching one of the kernel name provided by the **kernlab** package [Karatzoglou et al., 2004] such as "rbfdot", "polydot", "tanhdot", "vanilladot", "laplacedot", "besseldot", "anovadot", "splinedot". Specific arguments, **sigma**, **degree**, **scale**, **offsetand order**, can also be passed to the **kcca.test** function in order to parameterized the kernel used in the analysis.

KCCA based gene-gene interaction test is implemented in the **KCCA.test** function and mainly depends on the **kcca** function from the **kernlab** package [Karatzoglou et al., 2004].

```
> set.seed(1234)
> KCCA.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,
+ kernel="rbfdot",sigma = 0.05,n.boot=500)
```

Gene-based interaction based on Kernel Canonical Correspondance
Analysis

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
KCCU = 1.4055, n.boot = 500, p-value = 0.1599
alternative hypothesis: true KCCU is not equal to 0
sample estimates:
      z0      z1
3.717346 -3.759154
```

```
> set.seed(1234)
> KCCA.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,
+ kernel="polydot",degree = 1, scale = 1, offset = 1)
```

Gene-based interaction based on Kernel Canonical Correspondance
Analysis

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
KCCU = 1.4106, n.boot = 100, p-value = 0.1584
alternative hypothesis: true KCCU is not equal to 0
sample estimates:
      z0      z1
4.161048 -4.251702
```

2.4 Partial Least Square Path Modeling (PLSPM)

The PLSPM testing has been introduced by [Zhang et al., 2013] and is based on the Wald-like statistic:

$$U_{PLSPM} = \frac{\beta_d - \beta_c}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(\beta_d - \beta_c)}}$$

where β_d (resp. β_c) is the path coefficient between \mathbf{X}_1^d and \mathbf{X}_2^d (resp. \mathbf{X}_1^c and \mathbf{X}_2^c). As quoted by [Zhang et al., 2013], the distribution of U_{PLSPM} is unknown and significance can be tested with bootstrapping method.

PLSPM based gene-gene interaction test is implemented in the `PLSPM.test` function and mainly depends on the `plspm` function from the `plspm` package [Sanchez et al., 2015].

```
> set.seed(1234)
> PLSPM.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,n.perm=1000)
```

Gene-based interaction based on Partial Least Squares Path Modeling

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
U = 4.0938, n.perm = 1000, p-value = 0.18
alternative hypothesis: true U is not equal to 0
sample estimates:
      beta0      beta1
-0.2125869  0.2434624
```

2.5 Composite Linkage Disequilibrium (CLD)

The CLD method, proposed in [Rajapakse et al., 2012] is based on the normalized quadratic distance (NQD) and is defined as

$$\delta^2 = \text{tr.} \left((\tilde{D} - \tilde{C})W^{-1}(\tilde{D} - \tilde{C})W^{-1} \right)$$

where \tilde{D} , \tilde{C} and W are three $(m_1 + m_2) \times (m_1 + m_2)$ matrices of the covariance between the whole set of SNPs that combines SNPs from both genes. More precisely, \tilde{D} and \tilde{C} are defined as follows:

$$\tilde{D} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & W_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \tilde{C} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & W_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where W_{11} (resp. W_{22}) is the pooled estimate of the covariance matrix for \mathbf{X}_1 (resp. \mathbf{X}_2 , $D_{12}(= D'_{21})$ and $C_{12}(= C'_{21})$ are the sample covariance matrix between the two genes estimated from $(\mathbf{X}_1^d, \mathbf{X}_2^d)$ and $(\mathbf{X}_1^c, \mathbf{X}_2^c)$ respectively. In more details, the sample covariance matrices in cases, denoted by D , and in controls, denoted by C , can be partitioned in 4 blocks as follows:

$$D = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}_1^d, \mathbf{X}_2^d) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}_1^c, \mathbf{X}_2^c) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

The pooled estimate of the covariance matrix, W , can thus been obtained by:

$$W = \frac{n_c C + n_d D}{n_c + n_d} = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the distribution of δ^2 is not known under the null hypothesis, significance testing is performed using permutation tests, as proposed by [Rajapakse et al., 2012]. Such a test has been implemented in our package in the `CLD.test` function where the number of permutations is determined by the argument `n.perm`.

```
> set.seed(1234)
> CLD.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,n.perm=2000)
```

Gene-based interaction based on Composite Linkage Disequilibrium

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
CLD = 0.49257, n.perm = 2000, p-value = 0.8865
alternative hypothesis: true CLD is not equal to 0
sample estimates:
      CLD
0.4925654
```

2.6 Gene-Based Information Gain Method (GBIGM)

Introduced by [Li et al., 2015], the GBIGM method is based on the information gain rate $\Delta R_{1,2}$. $\Delta R_{1,2}$ is defined as follows:

$$\Delta R_{1,2} = \frac{\min(H_1, H_2) - H_{1,2}}{\min(H_1, H_2)}$$

where H_1 , H_2 , $H_{1,2}$ are the conditional entropies, given the \mathbf{Y} , of \mathbf{X}_1 , \mathbf{X}_2 and the pooled SNP set $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ respectively. Assuming that $H(\cdot)$ is the classical entropy function, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= H(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}_1) - H(\mathbf{X}_1) \\ H_2 &= H(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}_2) - H(\mathbf{X}_2) \\ H_{1,2} &= H(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) - H(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) \end{aligned}$$

Since the distribution of $\Delta R_{1,2}$ is unknown, the significance testing is performed by permutations as suggested by [Li et al., 2015]. The GBIGM method has been implemented in the `GBIGM.test` function and the number of permutations is defined by the argument `n.perm`.

```
> set.seed(1234)
> GBIGM.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,n.perm=2000)
```

Gene-based interaction based on Gene-based Information Gain Method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
DeltaR1,2 = 0.46441, n.perm = 2000, p-value = 0.441
alternative hypothesis: two.sided
sample estimates:
DeltaR1,2
0.4644093
```

3 From SNP-SNP interaction to Gene-Gene interaction testing

This section provides details of the four statistical methods that proposes a gene-based test from SNP-based tests [Emily, 2016]. Rather than considering multiple SNPs in both gene as part of a joint model, these methods aim at aggregating p-values obtained at the SNP level into a single p-value at a gene level.

Interaction testing at the SNP level

Let consider a pair of SNPs, $(X_{1,j}, X_{2,k})$ where $X_{1,j}$ is the j^{th} SNP of gene X_1 and $X_{2,k}$ the k^{th} SNP of gene X_2 ($1 \leq j \leq m_1$ and $1 \leq k \leq m_2$). To test for interaction at the SNP level, we used the following Wald statistic:

$$W_{jk} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_3^{j,k}}{\sigma\left(\widehat{\beta}_3^{j,k}\right)}$$

where $\widehat{\beta_3^{j,k}}$ is an estimate of the interaction coefficient $\beta_3^{j,k}$ of the following logistic model:

$$\log \left(\frac{\mathbb{P}[Y = 1 | X_{1,j} = x_1, X_{2,k} = x_2]}{1 - \mathbb{P}[Y = 1 | X_{1,j} = x_1, X_{2,k} = x_2]} \right) = \beta_0^{j,k} + \beta_1^{j,k} x_1 + \beta_2^{j,k} x_2 + \beta_3^{j,k} x_1 x_2$$

$\widehat{\beta_3^{j,k}}$ is obtained by maximizing the likelihood function on the observed data \mathbf{Y} , \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 while $\sigma(\widehat{\beta_3^{j,k}})$ is calculating by inverting the Hessian of the likelihood. Since the solution of the maximization of the likelihood function does not have a closed form, we compute W_{jk} according to the iteratively reweighted least squares algorithm proposed in the `glm` function of the `stats` package [R Core Team, 2016] .

To combine the statistics W_{jk} into a single test, [Ma et al., 2013] proposed four methods that all account for covariance matrix $\Sigma = [\sigma_{(j,k),(j',k')}]_{\substack{j=1\dots m_1; k=1\dots m_2 \\ j'=1\dots m_1; k'=1\dots m_2}}$, a $(m_1 \times m_2) \times (m_1 \times m_2)$ symmetric matrix where $\sigma_{(j,k),(j',k')} = \text{Cov}(W_{jk}, W_{j',k'})$. As proposed by [Emily, 2016], the covariance between W_{jk} and $W_{j',k'}$ is estimated by:

$$\sigma_{(j,k),(j',k')} = r_{j,j'} r_{k,k'}$$

where $r_{j,j'} = \frac{p_{jj'} - p_j p_{j'}}{\sqrt{p_j(1-p_j)p_{j'}(1-p_{j'})}}$ is the widely used correlation measure between SNP j and SNP j' , given that p_j and $p_{j'}$ are the respective allelic frequencies and $p_{jj'}$ is the joint allelic frequency [Hill and Robertson, 1968].

In the remainder of this section, the four methods: minP (function `minP.test`), GATES (function `gates.test`), tTS (function `tTS.test`) and tProd (function `tProd.test`) are detailed.

3.1 minP

The minP test is based on the minimum p-value that is often used to combine p-values of association (see [Conneely and Boehnke, 2007]). Let $W_{\max} = \max |W_{11}|, \dots, |W_{m_1, m_2}|$ be the maximum of the absolute observed statistics. The minP is then defined by:

$$\text{minP} = 1 - \mathbb{P} \left[\max(|Z_1|, |Z_2|, \dots, |Z_{m_1 m_2}|) < W_{\max} \right]. \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{Z} = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_{m_1 m_2})$ is a random vector that follows a multivariate normal distribution $\mathbb{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$.

The computation of Equation (1) requires the calculation of the probability distribution of a multivariate normal random variable. For that purpose, we used the `pmvnorm` function from the R package `mvtnorm` [Genz and Bretz, 2009].

```
> set.seed(1234)
> minP.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1, G2=gene.pair$G2)
```

Gene-based interaction based on minP method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
Wmax = 0.0099241, p-value = 0.1796
alternative hypothesis: true Wmax is greater than 0
sample estimates:
      Wmax
0.009924148
```

3.2 GATES

The GATES procedure, proposed by [Li et al., 2011], is an extension of the Simes procedure used to assess the gene level association significance. Let $p_{(1)}, \dots, p_{(m_1 m_2)}$ be the ascending SNP-SNP interaction $m_1 \times m_2$

p-values, GATES p-value is then defined by

$$p_{GATES} = \min \left(\frac{mep_{(1)}}{me_{(1)}}, \frac{mep_{(2)}}{me_{(2)}}, \dots, \frac{mep_{(m_1 m_2)}}{me_{(m_1 m_2)}} \right)$$

where m_e is the number of effective tests among the $m_1 \times m_2$ tests and $me_{(i)}$ the number of effective tests among the i most significant tests associated with the lowest order p-values $p_{(1)}, \dots, p_{(i)}$. The number of effective tests ought to characterize the number of independent tests equivalent to the correlated tests that are really performed and is often used to account for dependence in a multiple testing correction.

Although no formal definition of the number of effective tests has been formulated in the literature, several procedures have been proposed to estimate such number. All methods are based on a transformation of the set of eigenvalues of the SNP covariance matrix assuming that (1) if the SNPs are independent, the number of effective tests is the number of performed, (2) if the absolute value of the correlation between any pair of SNPs is equal to 1, the number of effective tests is 1. In the **GeneGeneInterR** package, four main methods have been implemented and can be chosen by the user with the argument `merest`: Cheverud-Nyholt method - `me.est="ChevNy"` [Cheverud, 2001, Nyholt, 2004], Keff method - `me.est="Keff"` [Moskvina and Schmidt, 2008], Li and Ji method - `me.est="LiJi"` [Li and Ji, 2005] and Galwey - `me.est="Galwey"` [Galwey, 2009].

```
> set.seed(1234)
> gates.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,me.est="ChevNy")
```

Gene-based interaction based on GATES method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
GATES = 0.0099241, p-value = 0.2939
alternative hypothesis: less
sample estimates:
      GATES
0.009924148
```

```
> set.seed(1234)
> gates.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,alpha=0.05,me.est="Keff")
```

Gene-based interaction based on GATES method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
GATES = 0.013945, p-value = 0.1899
alternative hypothesis: less
sample estimates:
      GATES
0.01394543
```

```
> set.seed(1234)
> gates.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,me.est="LiJi")
```

Gene-based interaction based on GATES method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
GATES = 0.013945, p-value = 0.1255
alternative hypothesis: less
sample estimates:
      GATES
0.01394543
```



```
> set.seed(1234)
> gates.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,me.est="Galwey")
```

Gene-based interaction based on GATES method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
GATES = 0.013945, p-value = 0.1596
alternative hypothesis: less
sample estimates:
      GATES
0.01394543
```

3.3 tTS and tProd

tTS and tProd procedures are two truncated tail strength methods that aim at combining signals from all single-SNP p-values less than a predefined cutoff value [Jiang et al., 2011]. Denoting by τ the cutoff value, the two truncated p-values are defined as follows [Zaykin et al., 2002]:

$$tTS = \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \sum_{i=1}^{m_1 m_2} \mathbb{I}(p_{(i)} < \tau) \left(1 - p_{(i)} \frac{m_1 m_2 + 1}{i} \right)$$

$$tProd = \prod_{i=1}^{m_1 m_2} p_i^{\mathbb{I}(p_i < \tau)}$$

where \mathbb{I} is the indicator function.

When p-values are correlated, the null distribution of tTS and $tProd$ are unknown. Following the approach proposed by [Zaykin et al., 2002], a p-value is obtained in the **GeneGeneInter** package by computing an empirical null distribution using Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations. For each MC iteration, an empirical value for tTS (or $tProd$) is obtained by simulating a vector of W_{jk} with respect to a multivariate normal distribution with a vector of 0 means and $\hat{\Sigma}$ as covariance matrix. The empirical p-value is calculated as the proportion of simulated statistics larger than the observed statistic on the "true" set of W_{jk} .

tTS and tProd methods have been implemented in the functions `tTS.test` and `tProd.test` of the **GeneGeneInter** package. Additional to the mandatory `Y`, `G1` and `G2` arguments, these two functions have two optional arguments: `tau` and `n.sim` that control the cutoff value and the number of simulations used to estimate the empirical value respectively. The following coding lines give an example of the `tTS.test` and `tProd.test`:

```
> set.seed(1234)
> tTS.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,tau=0.5,n.sim=10000)
```

Gene-based interaction based on the Truncated Tail Strength method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
tTS = -0.0099127, tau = 0.5, p-value = 0.5104
alternative hypothesis: less
sample estimates:
      tTS
-0.009912706
```

```
> set.seed(1234)
> tProd.test(Y=gene.pair$Y, G1=gene.pair$G1,G2=gene.pair$G2,tau=0.05,n.sim=1000)
```

Gene-based interaction based on the Truncated Product method

```
data: gene.pair$Y and (gene.pair$G1 , gene.pair$G2)
tProd = 0.0001384, tau = 0.05, p-value = 0.265
alternative hypothesis: less
sample estimates:
      tProd
0.0001383965
```

References

[Cheverud, 2001] Cheverud, J. M. (2001). A simple correction for multiple comparisons in interval mapping genome scans. *Heredity*, 87(1):52–58.

- [Conneely and Boehnke, 2007] Conneely, K. N. and Boehnke, M. (2007). So many correlated tests, so little time! rapid adjustment of p values for multiple correlated tests. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 81(6):1158–1168.
- [Emily, 2016] Emily, M. (2016). Aggregator: A gene-based gene-gene interaction test for case-control association studies. *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology*, 15(2):151–171.
- [Galwey, 2009] Galwey, N. W. (2009). A new measure of the effective number of tests, a practical tool for comparing families of non-independent significance tests. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 33(7):559–568.
- [Genz and Bretz, 2009] Genz, A. and Bretz, F. (2009). *Computation of Multivariate Normal and T Probabilities*. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 1st edition.
- [Hill and Robertson, 1968] Hill, W. G. and Robertson, A. (1968). Linkage disequilibrium in finite populations. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 38:226–231.
- [Jiang et al., 2011] Jiang, B., Zhang, X., Zuo, Y., and Kang, G. (2011). A powerful truncated tail strength method for testing multiple null hypotheses in one dataset. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 277(1):67 – 73.
- [Karatzoglou et al., 2004] Karatzoglou, A., Smola, A., Hornik, K., and Zeileis, A. (2004). kernlab – an S4 package for kernel methods in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 11(9):1–20.
- [Larson and Schaid, 2013] Larson, N. B. and Schaid, D. J. (2013). A kernel regression approach to gene-gene interaction detection for case-control studies. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 37(7):695–703.
- [Li et al., 2015] Li, J., Huang, D., Guo, M., Liu, X., Wang, C., Teng, Z., Zhang, R., Jiang, Y., Lv, H., and Wang, L. (2015). A gene-based information gain method for detecting gene-gene interactions in case-control studies. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, Online:Online.
- [Li and Ji, 2005] Li, J. and Ji, L. (2005). Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. *Heredity*, 95:221–227.
- [Li et al., 2011] Li, M.-X., Gui, H.-S., Kwan, J., and Sham, P. (2011). Gates: A rapid and powerful gene-based association test using extended simes procedure. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 88(3):283–293.
- [Ma et al., 2013] Ma, L., Clark, A. G., and Keinan, A. (2013). Gene-based testing of interactions in association studies of quantitative traits. *PLoS Genet*, 9(2):e1003321.
- [Moskvina and Schmidt, 2008] Moskvina, V. and Schmidt, K. M. (2008). On multiple-testing correction in genome-wide association studies. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 32(6):567–573.
- [Nyholt, 2004] Nyholt, D. R. (2004). A simple correction for multiple testing for single-nucleotide polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with each other. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 74(4):765 – 769.
- [Peng et al., 2010] Peng, Q., Zhao, J., and Xue, F. (2010). A gene-based method for detecting gene-gene co-association in a case-control association study. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 18(5):582–587.
- [R Core Team, 2016] R Core Team (2016). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- [Rajapakse et al., 2012] Rajapakse, I., Perlman, M. D., Martin, P. J., Hansen, J. A., and Kooperberg, C. (2012). Multivariate detection of gene-gene interactions. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 36(6):622–630.
- [Sanchez et al., 2015] Sanchez, G., Trinchera, L., and Russolillo, G. (2015). *plsmp: Tools for Partial Least Squares Path Modeling (PLS-PM)*. R package version 0.4.7.
- [Yuan et al., 2012] Yuan, Z., Gao, Q., He, Y., Zhang, X., Li, F., Zhao, J., and Xue, F. (2012). Detection for gene-gene co-association via kernel canonical correlation analysis. *BMC Genetics*, 13(1):83.
- [Zaykin et al., 2002] Zaykin, D., Zhivotovsky, L. A., Westfall, P., and Weir, B. (2002). Truncated product method for combining p-values. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 22(2):170–185.

[Zhang et al., 2013] Zhang, X., Yang, X., Yuan, Z., Liu, Y., Li, F., Peng, B., Zhu, D., Zhao, J., and Xue, F. (2013). A plspm-based test statistic for detecting gene-gene co-association in genome-wide association study with case-control design. *PLoS ONE*, 8(4):e62129.