KEGGandMetacoreDzPathwaysGEO : Disease Datasets from GEO

Gaurav Bhatti

17 April 2014

1 Overview of KEGGandMetacoreDzPathwaysGEO data package

KEGGandMetacoreDzPathwaysGEO is a collection of 18 GEO datasets for which the phenotype is a disease with a corresponding pathway in either of the two popular gene to pathway annotation databases, KEGG and Metacore. These datasets were used as gold standard in comparing gene set analysis methods [1].Details about the individual datasets including sample tissue, target disease pathway, etc may be obtained by typing:

```
> ?KEGGandMetacoreDzPathwaysGEO
```

at the R prompt.In order to access all the datasets available in the package, type the following:

```
> mysets=data(package="KEGGandMetacoreDzPathwaysGEO")$results[,"Item"]
> mysets
```

The microarray data from the GEO database along with the associated metadata is stored as ExpressionSet class. "The ExpressionSet class is designed to combine several different sources of information into a single convenient structure. An ExpressionSet can be manipulated (e.g., subsetted, copied) conveniently, and is the input or output from many Bioconductor functions." [2].An example dataset is shown below:

```
> library(KEGGandMetacoreDzPathwaysGEO)
> data(GSE1145)
> show(GSE1145)

ExpressionSet (storageMode: lockedEnvironment)
assayData: 54675 features, 26 samples
  element names: exprs
protocolData: none
```

```
phenoData
  sampleNames: GSM18442 GSM18443 ... GSM18436 (26 total)
  varLabels: Sample Group
  varMetadata: labelDescription
featureData: none
experimentData: use 'experimentData(object)'
Annotation: hgu133plus2
```

A similar data package, *KEGGDzPathwaysGEO*, is already available for installation in Bioconductor.It contains additional 24 GEO datasets for which the phenotype is a disease with a corresponding pathway in the KEGG database.These datasets were used to test the performance of an in-house pathway analysis method which has also been implemented as a Bioconductor package, *PADOG* [3].

These datasets may be used to compare existing gene set pathway analysis methods or to test the performance of novel methods.

References

[1] Tarca, A. L. et al. (2013) A Comparison of Gene Set Analysis Methods in Terms of Sensitivity, Prioritization and Specificity. PLoS ONE 8(11): e79217.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0079217

[2] Falcon, S., Morgan, M., and Gentleman, R. (2007), An Introduction to Bioconductor's ExpressionSet Class.

[3] Tarca, A. L. et al. (2012). Down-weighting overlapping genes improves gene set analysis. BMC Bioinformatics, 13, 136-2105-13-136.